Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/883,790

Applicant(s)

Vacek et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Mark S. Graham

Art Unit **3711**

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period f	or Reply	TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		
- Extens	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
mailing	date of this communication.	e statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
If NO P	period for reply is specified above, the meximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause th	nd will expire SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Any re	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t	his communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
earned Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 15, 2	002
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.
3) 🗆	The second section as to the merits is	
31	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗌	Claim(s)	
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	
8) 🛭		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
	ation Papers	
• •	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)		$oxed{a}$ accepted or $oxed{b}$ objected to by the Examiner.
10,13	Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner
11/	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	
,	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure	documents have been received in this National Stage
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	ne certified copies not received.
14) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.		
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attach	ment(s)	
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) 🗍 (nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/883,790

Art Unit: 3711

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a bat, classified in class 473, subclass 567.
- II. Claims 9-16, drawn to a method of making a bat, classified in class 473, subclass 567.
- 1. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are

 distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

 used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

 made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the bat

 as claimed may be made by a different process not involving a mandrel such as by using a mold

 wherein air pressure is used in place of a mandrel.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Richard Billion on 5/17/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/883,790

Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark S. Graham at telephone number 308-1355.

MSG June 6, 2002 Mark S. Graham
Primary Examiner

1